

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/872,347	06/01/2001	Larry I. Benowitz	701039-052161	1168
959 7:	590 06/10/2002			
LAHIVE & COCKFIELD		EXAMINER		
28 STATE STREET BOSTON, MA 02109			LI, RUIXIANG	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1646	٠,
		-	DATE MAILED: 06/10/2002	/

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	1	_				
Office Action Summary	09/872,347	BENOWITZ, LAR	RY I.			
onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Ruixiang Li	1646	idross			
Period for Reply	dears on the cover s	neet with the correspondence at	iuress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, howevery within the statutory minim will apply and will expire SIDs, cause the application to b	er, may a reply be timely filed  rum of thirty (30) days will be considered time  X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this of become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 F</u>	<del>February 2002</del> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Th	is action is non-fina	al.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-46</u> is/are pending in the application	1					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw		ion.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-46 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	=					
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority document						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)	•					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No lotice of Informal Patent Application (PT hther:				

Application/Control Number: 09/872,347

**Art Unit: 1646** 

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - I. Claims 1 (in part), 2, 4-28, 29 (in part), 30, 31, 35 (in part), 36 (in part), 37, 38, and 44-46, drawn to a method comprising administering to a subject with spinal cord injury a therapeutically effective amount of a macrophage-derived factor, oncomdulin, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
  - II. Claims 1 (in part), 2, 4-28, 29 (in part), 32, 33, 35 (in part), 36 (in part), 37, 38, and 44-46, drawn to a method comprising administering to a subject with epilepsy a therapeutically effective amount of a macrophage-derived factor, oncomdulin, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
  - III. Claims 1 (in part), 2, 4-28, 29 (in part), 34, 35 (in part), 36 (in part), 37, 38, and 44-46, drawn to a method comprising administering to a subject with Alzheimer's disease a therapeutically effective amount of a macrophage-derived factor, oncomdulin, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
  - IV. Claims 1 (in part), 3-28, 29 (in part), 30, 31, 35 (in part), 36 (in part), drawn to a method comprising administering to a subject with spinal cord injury a therapeutically effective amount of a macrophage-derived factor, TGF-β, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
  - V. Claims 1 (in part), 3-28, 29 (in part), 32, 33, 35 (in part), 36 (in part), drawn to a method comprising administering to a subject with spinal cord injury a

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/872,347

Art Unit: 1646

therapeutically effective amount of a macrophage-derived factor, TGF- $\beta$ , classified in class 514, subclass 2.

- VI. Claims 1 (in part), 3-28, 29 (in part), 34, 35 (in part), 36 (in part), drawn to a method comprising administering to a subject with Alzheimer's disease a therapeutically effective amount of a macrophage-derived factor, TGF-β, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- VII. Claim 39 (in part), drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising a macrophage-derived factor, oncomdulin, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- VIII. Claim 39 (in part), drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising a macrophage-derived factor, TGF-β, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- IX. Claim 39 (in part) and 40, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising a macrophage-derived factor, oncomdulin, and a cAMP modulator, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- X. Claim 39 (in part) and 40, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising a macrophage-derived factor, TGF-β, and a cAMP modulator, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- XI. Claim 39, 41 (both in part), and 42, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising a macrophage-derived factor, oncomdulin, and an axogenic factor, AF-1, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- XII Claim 39, 41 (both in part), and 42, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising a macrophage-derived factor, TGF-β, and an axogenic factor, AF-1, classified in class 514, subclass 2.

Application/Control Number: 09/872,347 Page 4

Art Unit: 1646

XIII. Claim 39, 41 (both in part), and 43, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising a macrophage-derived factor, oncomdulin and an axogenic factor, inosine, classified in class 514, subclass 2.

- XIV. Claim 39, 41 (both in part), and 43, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition comprising a macrophage-derived factor, TGF-β and an axogenic factor, inosine, classified in class 514, subclass 2.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other for the following reasons. Inventions I-VI are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP §806.04, MPEP §808.01). In the instance case the different inventions are drawn to completely different methods each having completely different method steps, using different compositions, and having completely different outcomes. Each method is unique and not required one for another. Thus, the methods are exclusive and require non-cohesive searches and considerations.
- 3. Inventions VII-XIII are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP §806.04, MPEP §808.01). In the instant case, the different inventions are drawn to completely different compositions, which are not interchangeable and which require non-cohesive searches and considerations.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/872,347

Art Unit: 1646

- Inventions I-VI related to Inventions VII-XIII as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown:
   (1) the process for using the product as can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP §806.05 (h)). In the instant case, each
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

composition can be used with more than one method.

- 6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for a single group is not required for any other group, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 7. Furthermore, the application contains claims directed to patentably distinct species: (a) cAMP modulators, as listed in claim 5; (b) two species of axogenic factors, AF-1 and inosine. These species are completely different products having completely different structures and biological functions which are not interchangeable and which require non-cohesive searches and considerations.

Should applicant elect a group of invention containing these claims, applicant is also required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Application/Control Number: 09/872,347

Art Unit: 1646

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02 (a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48 (b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48 (b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17 (l).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ruixiang Li whose telephone number is (703) 306-0282. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 am-5:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 09/872,347

**Art Unit: 1646** 

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Yvonne Eyler, can be reached on (703) 308-6564. The fax phone number

for this Group is (703) 305-3014 or (703) 308-4242.

Communications via Internet e-mail regarding this application, other than those

under 35 U.S.C. 132 or which otherwise require a signature, may be used by the

applicant and should be addressed to [yvonne.eyler@uspto.gov].

All Internet e-mail communications will be made of record in the application file.

PTO employees do not engage in Internet communications where there exists a

possibility that sensitive information could be identified or exchanged unless the record

includes a properly signed express waiver of the confidentiality requirements of 35

U.S.C. 122. This is more clearly set forth in the Interim Internet Usage Policy published

in the Official Gazette of the Patent and Trademark on February 25, 1997 at 1195 OG

89.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is

(703) 308-0196.

Ruixiang Li Examiner

June 4, 2002

ELIZABETH KEMMERER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Page 7